

Appendix

This appendix includes tables with information supplementing that presented in the main text. The contents are listed below:

- **A-1:** Share of each sample who served in Vietnam, by occupation. Military officers are always the bulk of those who served, but there are a substantial number of Vietnam veterans in other occupational categories.
- **A-2:** Multinomial logit results used to produce the marginal effects presented in Figure 1 and 2.
- **A-3:** Logit results on attitudes toward intervention in Angola, 1976. Used to produce Figure 3.
- **A-4:** Logit results on attitudes toward several foreign policy issues, 1980. Used to produce Figure 4.
- **A-5:** Logit results on attitudes toward several foreign policy issues, 1984. Used to produce Figure 5.
- **A-6:** Logit results on attitudes toward several foreign policy issues, 1988. Used to produce Figure 6.
- **A-7:** Logit results on attitudes toward several foreign policy issues, 1992. Used to produce Figure 7.
- **A-8:** Logit results on attitudes toward several foreign policy issues, 1996. Used to produce Figure 8.

Table A-1
Veterans in Each FPLP Sample, by Occupation

Occupation	Year of Survey:					
	1976	1980	1984	1988	1992	1996
Business Executive	8 (3%)	20 (5%)	23 (5%)	12 (3%)	32 (8%)	45 (13%)
Labor Official	2 (3%)	3 (3%)	5 (6%)	8 (13%)	9 (14%)	13 (18%)
Educator	10 (2%)	14 (2%)	25 (4%)	19 (3%)	22 (3%)	22 (4%)
Clergy	1 (1%)	6 (4%)	3 (2%)	4 (4%)	1 (1%)	4 (4%)
Military Officer	477 (97%)	166 (99%)	111 (91%)	98 (62%)	137 (90%)	63 (58%)
State Department Official		11 (7%)	18 (13%)	14 (11%)	28 (20%)	15 (15%)
Public Official	13 (8%)	12 (9%)	10 (9%)	15 (12%)	18 (17%)	12 (12%)
Communications	10 (5%)	23 (10%)	17 (8%)	13 (6%)	18 (11%)	16 (11%)
Lawyer	5 (4%)	10 (7%)	13 (9%)	9 (7%)	13 (7%)	25 (13%)
Health Care	4 (9%)	2 (2%)	12 (11%)	3 (3%)	9 (9%)	3 (3%)
Other	18 (7%)	16 (6%)	38 (10%)	5 (2%)	10 (4%)	8 (3%)
n	2,282	2,502	2,515	2,226	2,312	2,141
Total Vietnam Veterans	548	283	275	200	297	226

Note: Percentages indicate the share of each occupational category. In 1976, Foreign Service officers were included with other public officials. The "other" category includes those listing more than one occupation, entertainers, and other selected from *Who's Who in America*.

Table A-2.
Multinomial Logit Models of Attitudes toward the Vietnam War

1976 (n=2169)				
	Consistent Supporter	Converted Supporter	Converted Critic	Consistent Critic
Served in Vietnam	0.86 (0.20)*	0.38 (0.29)	-0.76 (0.18)*	-2.07 (0.31)*
Vietnam Generation	-0.12 (0.20)	0.32 (0.28)	0.29 (0.17)	-0.03 (0.23)
Male	0.24 (0.27)	-0.04 (0.36)	0.15 (0.20)	-0.38 (0.22)
Constant	-0.87 (0.27)	-1.63 (0.34)*	0.44 (0.19)*	0.26 (0.21)
Comparison to model omitting Vietnam service and Vietnam Generation variables: Likelihood ratio test for full model (8 d.f.): 223.95* Difference in BIC statistic (Full model - restricted model): -162.46				
1980 (n=2137)				
	Consistent Supporter	Converted Supporter	Converted Critic	Consistent Critic
Served in Vietnam	0.77 (0.19)*	0.05 (0.33)	-0.85 (0.20)*	-1.20 (0.29)*
Vietnam Generation	-0.75 (0.21)*	-0.15 (0.30)	0.14 (0.15)	0.30 (0.19)
Male	0.05 (0.23)	-0.21 (0.33)	0.12 (0.18)	-0.12 (0.21)
Constant	-0.37 (0.22)*	-1.39 (0.31)*	0.29 (0.18)*	-0.27 (0.20)
Comparison to model omitting Vietnam service and Vietnam Generation variables: Likelihood ratio test for full model (8 d.f.): 113.72* Difference in BIC statistic (Full model - restricted model): -52.38				
1984 (n=2103)				
	Consistent Supporter	Converted Supporter	Converted Critic	Consistent Critic
Served in Vietnam	0.70 (0.20)*	0.61 (0.30)*	-0.63 (0.19)*	-1.21 (0.28)*
Vietnam Generation	-0.51 (0.19)*	-0.12 (0.26)	0.16 (0.14)	-0.14 (0.17)
Male	0.18 (0.23)	-0.71 (0.27)*	0.45 (0.17)*	0.01 (0.19)
Constant	-0.72 (0.22)*	-1.09 (0.25)	0.09 (0.16)	-0.13 (0.18)
Comparison to model omitting Vietnam service and Vietnam Generation variables: Likelihood ratio test for full model (8 d.f.): 82.49* Difference in BIC statistic (Full model - restricted model): -21.28				
1988 (n=2037)				
	Consistent Supporter	Converted Supporter	Converted Critic	Consistent Critic
Served in Vietnam	0.51 (0.26)*	0.23 (0.39)	-0.77 (0.23)*	-1.98 (0.36)*
Vietnam Generation	-0.01 (0.20)	-0.05 (0.29)	0.31 (0.15)*	0.32 (0.16)*
Male	0.31 (0.25)	-0.42 (0.31)	0.15 (0.19)	-0.12 (0.20)
Constant	-0.82 (0.25)*	-1.17 (0.30)*	0.21 (0.18)	0.07 (0.20)
Comparison to model omitting Vietnam service and Vietnam Generation variables: Likelihood ratio test for full model (8 d.f.): 78.42* Difference in BIC statistic (Full model - restricted model): -17.47				

1992 (n=2182)

	Consistent Supporter	Converted Supporter	Converted Critic	Consistent Critic
Served in Vietnam	0.57 (0.27)*	0.04 (0.41)	-0.18 (0.19)	-1.54 (0.30)*
Vietnam Generation	-0.50 (0.22)*	-0.02 (0.31)	0.30 (0.15)*	0.18 (0.16)
Male	0.31 (0.27)	-0.24 (0.35)	0.20 (0.18)	-0.22 (0.19)
Constant	-0.85 (0.26)*	-1.65 (0.35)*	0.11 (0.18)	0.03 (0.19)

Comparison to model omitting Vietnam service and Vietnam Generation variables:

Likelihood ratio test for full model (8 d.f.): 67.59*

Difference in BIC statistic (Full model - restricted model): -6.09

1996 (n=1804)

	Consistent Supporter	Converted Supporter	Converted Critic	Consistent Critic
Served in Vietnam	0.89 (0.28)*	0.77 (0.38)*	-0.10 (0.22)	-0.75 (0.29)*
Vietnam Generation	-0.32 (0.22)	0.05 (0.30)	0.26 (0.15)	0.42 (0.17)*
Male	0.53 (0.27)*	-0.71 (0.29)*	0.19 (0.17)	-0.26 (0.19)
Constant	-1.27 (0.27)*	-1.34 (0.28)*	0.04 (0.17)	-0.31 (0.19)*

Comparison to model omitting Vietnam service and Vietnam Generation variables:

Likelihood ratio test for full model (8 d.f.): 37.52*

Difference in BIC statistic (Full model - restricted model): 22.17

Note: * $p < 0.05$

"Ambivalent" is the omitted category, so the significance tests indicate whether the variable in question affected the probability of being in the indicated category rather than the "ambivalent" category. Marginal effects for 1976 and 1996 are reported in Figures 1 and 2.

The Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) statistic provides support for the lower scoring model. In this case, negative differences support the inclusion of the Vietnam-related variables. By conventional standards, differences of less than 2 provide weak support for the lower-scoring model, differences between 2 and 6 provide positive support, differences between 6 and 10 provide strong support, and differences greater than 10 provide very strong support.

Table A-3.
Logit Model of Support for Intervention in Angola, 1976

Position on the Vietnam War:	
Critic	-1.59 (0.45)*
Converted Critic	-0.54 (0.20)*
Converted Supporter	0.03 (0.30)
Supporter	0.71 (0.19)*
Party identification:	
Republican	0.23 (0.17)
Democratic	-0.14 (0.22)
Ideology:	
Somewhat liberal	-0.01 (0.48)
Moderate	0.60 (0.46)
Somewhat conservative	1.04 (0.46)*
Very conservative	1.24 (0.50)*
Occupational category:	
Labor Official	0.13 (0.58)
Educator	0.15 (0.27)
Clergy	-0.22 (0.45)
Military Officer	0.57 (0.23)*
Public Official	0.71 (0.32)*
Communications	0.33 (0.37)
Lawyer	-0.46 (0.45)
Health Care	-0.07 (0.65)
Other	-0.07 (0.33)
Constant	-2.83 (0.52)*
n	2060
Likelihood ratio test for inclusion of Vietnam positions	58.90*
Difference in BIC from model excluding Vietnam position	-28.38

Note: * $p < 0.05$

The omitted position on the Vietnam War is "ambivalent." The omitted ideological category is "very liberal." The omitted occupational category is "business executive." Marginal effects are reported in Figure 3. See the note in Table A-2 concerning interpretation of the difference in BIC statistics.

Table A-4.
Logit Model of Foreign Policy Issues in 1980

	Soviet actions in Afghanistan affect U.S. interests	Favored immediate use of force in Iran when hostages taken	SALT process would jeopardize U.S. security
Position on the Vietnam War:			
Critic	-0.91 (0.17)*	-0.60 (0.27)*	-0.62 (0.32)*
Converted Critic	-0.25 (0.12)*	-0.29 (0.17)	-0.17 (0.20)
Converted Supporter	0.001 (0.22)	0.34 (0.26)	0.17 (0.30)
Supporter	0.43 (0.14)*	0.64 (0.17)*	0.85 (0.18)*
Party identification:			
Republican	0.22 (0.12)	0.28 (0.15)	0.43 (0.16)*
Democratic	0.21 (0.12)	0.39 (0.18)*	-0.24 (0.22)
Ideology:			
Somewhat liberal	0.47 (0.25)	0.12 (0.44)	0.80 (0.76)
Moderate	0.93 (0.25)*	0.98 (0.43)*	1.63 (0.75)*
Somewhat conservative	0.99 (0.26)*	1.29 (0.44)*	2.23 (0.75)*
Very conservative	1.52 (0.31)*	1.78 (0.47)*	3.21 (0.77)*
Occupational category:			
Labor Official	-0.01 (0.28)	0.42 (0.36)	-0.68 (0.42)
Educator	-0.33 (0.15)*	-0.26 (0.19)	-0.48 (0.21)*
Clergy	-0.12 (0.22)	-0.97 (0.38)*	-0.71 (0.37)*
Military Officer	-0.76 (0.20)*	0.13 (0.22)	-1.02 (0.27)*
Foreign Service Officer	-0.58 (0.22)*	-0.40 (0.31)*	-2.06 (0.61)*
Public Official	-0.32 (0.22)	-0.25 (0.29)	-0.30 (0.31)
Communications	-0.41 (0.19)*	-0.16 (0.25)	-0.27 (0.28)*
Lawyer	-0.09 (0.21)	-0.07 (0.25)	-0.05 (0.26)
Health Care	-0.07 (0.24)	-0.07 (0.33)	-0.33 (0.36)
Other	-0.35 (0.19)	-0.62 (0.27)*	-0.07 (0.25)*
Constant	-0.89 (0.29)*	-2.78 (0.52)*	-3.49 (0.81)*
n	2240	2233	2228
Likelihood ratio test for inclusion of Vietnam positions	59.98*	40.74*	47.74*
Difference in BIC from model excluding Vietnam position	-29.13	-9.89	-16.89

Note: * $p < 0.05$

The omitted position on the Vietnam War is "ambivalent." The omitted occupational category is "business executive." The omitted ideological category is "very liberal." Marginal effects are reported in Figure 4. See the note in Table A-2 concerning interpretation of the difference in BIC statistics.

Table A-5.
Logit Model of Foreign Policy Issues in 1984

	Strongly support new missile deployment in Europe	Strongly agree U.S. should support Afghan rebels	Strongly support sending military advisers to El Salvador	Strongly support aid for the rebels in Nicaragua	Favored maintaining forces in Lebanon after Marine barracks bombing
Position on the Vietnam War:					
Critic	-1.40 (0.22)*	-0.76 (0.20)*	-1.01 (0.28)*	-0.85 (0.30)*	-1.35 (0.21)*
Converted Critic	-0.37 (0.13)*	-0.18 (0.13)	-0.40 (0.16)*	-0.38 (0.17)*	-0.63 (0.13)*
Converted Supporter	0.09 (0.23)	-0.41 (0.25)	0.05 (0.25)	0.26 (0.26)	-0.10 (0.24)
Supporter	0.79 (0.16)*	0.77 (0.15)*	0.69 (0.16)*	0.87 (0.17)*	0.28 (0.16)
Party identification:					
Republican	0.18 (0.14)	-0.25 (0.14)	0.28 (0.15)	0.24 (0.16)	0.01 (0.14)
Democratic	-0.18 (0.15)	-0.01 (0.14)	-0.68 (0.21)*	-0.70 (0.23)*	-0.23 (0.15)
Ideology:					
Somewhat liberal	0.30 (0.36)	0.24 (0.29)	-0.21 (0.58)	-0.71 (0.63)	1.02 (0.41)*
Moderate	1.42 (0.35)*	0.75 (0.29)*	1.17 (0.53)*	0.98 (0.54)	1.30 (0.41)*
Somewhat conservative	1.97 (0.36)*	1.15 (0.31)*	1.99 (0.54)*	1.76 (0.54)*	1.83 (0.42)*
Very conservative	2.38 (0.40)*	1.91 (0.35)*	2.61 (0.56)*	2.49 (0.57)*	1.70 (0.45)*
Occupational category:					
Labor Official	0.37 (0.33)	-0.17 (0.35)	0.20 (0.50)	0.38 (0.50)	0.16 (0.36)
Educator	-0.58 (0.17)*	-0.30 (0.17)	-0.12 (0.20)	-0.04 (0.22)	-0.28 (0.16)
Clergy	-1.06 (0.31)*	-0.64 (0.29)*	-0.42 (0.39)	-0.47 (0.43)	-0.20 (0.26)
Military Officer	0.40 (0.23)	-0.02 (0.23)	0.66 (0.24)*	0.22 (0.25)	-0.52 (0.24)*
Foreign Service Officer	0.20 (0.21)	0.12 (0.21)	0.55 (0.25)*	0.33 (0.28)	-0.29 (0.23)
Public Official	0.22 (0.23)	0.02 (0.22)	0.56 (0.25)*	0.33 (0.26)	0.11 (0.22)
Communications	-0.55 (0.28)*	-0.57 (0.31)	-0.14 (0.32)	-0.56 (0.38)	-0.59 (0.31)
Lawyer	0.61 (0.23)*	0.81 (0.22)*	0.91 (0.27)	0.53 (0.30)	0.44 (0.23)
Health Care	-0.01 (0.26)*	0.11 (0.25)	0.35 (0.31)	0.33 (0.32)	-0.13 (0.26)
Other	0.17 (0.18)	0.02 (0.18)	0.35 (0.20)	0.49 (0.21)*	0.03 (0.18)
Constant	-1.93 (0.39)*	-1.80 (0.34)*	-2.94 (0.56)*	-2.99 (0.57)*	-1.97 (0.44)*
n	2319	2339	2326	2322	2242
Likelihood ratio test for inclusion of Vietnam positions	109.46*	66.17*	59.44*	64.60*	76.00*
Difference in BIC from model excluding Vietnam position	-78.47	-35.14	-28.43	-33.59	-45.14

Note: * p < 0.05

The omitted position on the Vietnam War is "ambivalent." The omitted ideological category is "very liberal." The omitted occupational category is "business executive." Marginal effects are reported in Figure 5. See the note in Table A-2 concerning interpretation of the difference in BIC statistics.

Table A-6.
Logit Model of Foreign Policy Issues in 1988

	Strongly agree Soviets will violate INF treaty obligations	Strongly support sending military advisers to El Salvador	Strongly support aid for rebels in Nicaragua	Strongly support not invoking War Powers Act after naval deployment in Persian Gulf	Strongly supported 1986 bombing of Libya
Position on the Vietnam War:					
Critic	-0.54 (0.18)*	-1.26 (0.27)*	-1.45 (0.28)*	-0.72 (0.21)*	-0.79 (0.28)*
Converted Critic	-0.31 (0.14)*	-0.78 (0.17)*	-0.70 (0.16)*	-0.33 (0.15)*	-0.64 (0.19)*
Converted Supporter	0.19 (0.23)	0.24 (0.24)	0.17 (0.24)	0.39 (0.24)	0.58 (0.25)*
Supporter	0.28 (0.16)	0.38 (0.16)*	0.78 (0.17)*	0.50 (0.17)*	0.76 (0.17)*
Party identification:					
Republican	-0.03 (0.15)	0.08 (0.16)	0.16 (0.15)	0.33 (0.14)*	0.39 (0.17)*
Democratic	0.03 (0.15)	-0.001 (0.20)	-0.28 (0.21)	-0.32 (0.18)	-0.27 (0.23)
Ideology:					
Somewhat liberal	0.98 (0.31)*	0.64 (0.62)	1.52 (1.03)	0.04 (0.40)	0.78 (0.62)
Moderate	0.96 (0.32)*	1.42 (0.61)*	2.62 (1.02)*	0.88 (0.39)*	1.02 (0.63)
Somewhat conservative	1.15 (0.34)*	2.44 (0.62)*	3.52 (1.02)*	1.83 (0.39)*	1.94 (0.63)*
Very conservative	1.58 (0.37)*	3.05 (0.64)*	4.46 (1.04)*	2.61 (0.43)*	2.74 (0.65)*
Occupational category:					
Labor Official	-0.14 (0.39)*	-0.62 (0.57)	-0.48 (0.48)	0.52 (0.37)	-0.22 (0.52)
Educator	0.06 (0.27)	-0.23 (0.33)	-0.64 (0.32)*	-0.35 (0.28)	-0.18 (0.33)
Clergy	-0.34 (0.30)	-0.47 (0.35)	-1.11 (0.35)*	-0.60 (0.30)*	-0.35 (0.35)
Military Officer	0.61 (0.21)*	0.57 (0.22)*	-0.29 (0.22)	0.49 (0.22)*	0.16 (0.23)
Foreign Service Officer	0.08 (0.24)	0.19 (0.29)	-0.32 (0.28)	-0.05 (0.25)	0.07 (0.31)
Public Official	0.30 (0.23)	0.27 (0.26)	-0.03 (0.26)	-0.05 (0.24)	-0.22 (0.29)
Communications	0.01 (0.18)	0.12 (0.20)	-0.33 (0.20)	-0.61 (0.19)*	0.05 (0.21)
Lawyer	0.81 (0.24)*	1.12 (0.27)*	-0.50 (0.30)	-0.14 (0.27)	0.25 (0.30)
Health Care	0.41 (0.24)	0.22 (0.29)	-0.60 (0.30)*	-0.54 (0.28)*	-0.31 (0.33)
Other	-0.0005 (0.23)	0.10 (0.25)	-0.25 (0.25)*	-0.29 (0.23)	-0.12 (0.27)
Constant	-2.18 (0.37)*	-3.20 (0.65)*	-3.67 (1.04)*	-1.93 (0.43)*	-3.19 (0.66)*
n	2102	2130	2126	2126	2120
Likelihood ratio test for inclusion of Vietnam positions	21.22*	66.08*	103.27*	39.59*	68.69*
Difference in BIC from model excluding Vietnam position	9.39	-35.42	-72.63	-8.95	-38.05

Note: * p < 0.05

The omitted position on the Vietnam War is "ambivalent." The omitted ideological category is "very liberal." The omitted occupational category is "business executive." Marginal effects are reported in Figure 6. See the note in Table A-2 concerning interpretation of the difference in BIC statistics.

Table A-7.
Logit Model of Foreign Policy Issues in 1992

	Strongly supported 1989 invasion of Panama	Favored use of force right away after Iraqi invasion of Kuwait	Strongly opposed ending Persian Gulf War with Saddam Hussein still in power
Position on the Vietnam War:			
Critic	-0.31 (0.23)	-0.61 (0.16)*	-0.24 (0.15)
Converted Critic	0.02 (0.15)	-0.17 (0.14)	0.03 (0.12)
Converted Supporter	-0.50 (0.33)	-0.05 (0.30)	0.35 (0.25)
Supporter	0.79 (0.17)*	0.75 (0.22)*	0.65 (0.16)*
Party identification:			
Republican	0.48 (0.15)*	0.63 (0.16)*	-0.22 (0.13)
Democratic	-0.46 (0.19)*	-0.16 (0.14)	0.13 (0.13)
Ideology:			
Somewhat liberal	1.32 (0.61)*	0.82 (0.24)*	-0.12 (0.18)
Moderate	2.07 (0.61)*	1.56 (0.25)*	0.29 (0.19)
Somewhat conservative	2.43 (0.62)*	2.41 (0.27)*	0.42 (0.22)
Very conservative	2.86 (0.65)*	2.27 (0.39)*	0.42 (0.29)
Occupational category:			
Labor Official	0.42 (0.43)	-0.60 (0.36)	0.09 (0.30)
Educator	-0.02 (0.28)	-0.20 (0.28)	0.56 (0.24)
Clergy	-1.04 (0.37)*	-2.00 (0.30)*	-0.85 (0.25)*
Military Officer	-0.13 (0.22)	0.07 (0.28)	-1.15 (0.22)*
Foreign Service Officer	-0.55 (0.29)	-0.20 (0.24)	-0.25 (0.21)
Public Official	-0.45 (0.24)	0.03 (0.23)	-0.23 (0.19)
Communications	-0.52 (0.19)*	-0.68 (0.18)*	-0.23 (0.15)
Lawyer	-0.68 (0.31)*	-0.14 (0.25)	-0.79 (0.23)*
Health Care	-0.18 (0.28)	0.13 (0.29)	-0.40 (0.24)
Other	-0.28 (0.23)	-0.33 (0.22)	0.16 (0.18)
Constant	-3.28 (0.64)*	-0.90 (0.31)*	-0.36 (0.25)
n	2114	2088	2114
Likelihood ratio test for inclusion of Vietnam positions	38.23*	39.72*	28.75*
Difference in BIC from model excluding Vietnam position	-7.61	-9.14	1.88

Note: * $p < 0.05$

The omitted position on the Vietnam War is "ambivalent." The omitted ideological category is "very liberal." The omitted occupational category is "business executive." Marginal effects are reported in Figure 7. See the note in Table A-2 concerning interpretation of the difference in BIC statistics.

Table A-8.
Logit Model of Foreign Policy Issues in 1996

	Favored sending troops to Bosnia to enforce the Dayton Accords	Would favor the use of U.S. troops if Iraq invaded Saudi Arabia	Would favor the use of U.S. troops if there were an uprising in Cuba	Strongly supports the use of U.S. troops to end civil war in Somalia	Strongly supports expanding NATO into Eastern Europe
Position on the Vietnam War:					
Critic	-0.58 (0.17)*	-0.63 (0.17)*	-0.74 (0.25)*	-0.45 (0.29)	0.20 (0.16)
Converted Critic	-0.17 (0.14)	0.19 (0.16)	-0.20 (0.17)	-0.47 (0.25)	0.04 (0.14)
Converted Supporter	-1.31 (0.29)*	-0.62 (0.28)*	0.39 (0.28)	-0.81 (0.62)	-0.03 (0.27)
Supporter	-0.57 (0.18)*	0.50 (0.24)*	0.17 (0.20)	-0.07 (0.34)	0.36 (0.17)*
Party identification:					
Republican	-0.25 (0.15)	0.09 (0.18)	0.03 (0.19)	-0.26 (0.33)	0.04 (0.15)
Democratic	0.59 (0.15)*	0.46 (0.17)*	-0.09 (0.21)	0.51 (0.28)	0.08 (0.15)
Ideology:					
Somewhat liberal	-0.31 (0.24)	0.41 (0.21)*	0.21 (0.34)	-0.50 (0.31)	0.21 (0.21)
Moderate	-0.66 (0.25)*	0.88 (0.23)*	0.39 (0.36)	-0.75 (0.35)*	0.22 (0.23)
Somewhat conservative	-1.37 (0.27)*	1.20 (0.27)*	0.67 (0.38)	-0.55 (0.43)	0.12 (0.25)
Very conservative	-2.42 (0.33)*	0.94 (0.33)*	0.94 (0.42)*	-0.75 (0.57)	0.16 (0.30)
Occupational category:					
Labor Official	0.23 (0.36)	-0.41 (0.34)	1.14 (0.33)*	0.78 (0.44)	0.09 (0.30)
Educator	-0.34 (0.26)	-0.42 (0.29)	0.11 (0.30)	0.37 (0.48)	0.01 (0.25)
Clergy	-0.20 (0.17)	-0.46 (0.19)*	-0.43 (0.21)*	-0.14 (0.34)	-0.27 (0.16)
Military Officer	-0.19 (0.25)	2.72 (1.02)*	-1.14 (0.38)*	-0.90 (0.76)	-0.70 (0.28)*
Foreign Service Officer	0.25 (0.25)	0.20 (0.29)	-0.77 (0.35)*	0.40 (0.41)	-0.44 (0.24)
Public Official	0.17 (0.21)	0.27 (0.28)	-0.57 (0.28)*	-0.12 (0.43)	-0.30 (0.21)
Communications	-0.16 (0.27)	-0.63 (0.28)*	0.19 (0.29)	0.79 (0.41)	-0.26 (0.26)
Lawyer	0.25 (0.30)	0.38 (0.37)	-0.56 (0.39)	-0.64 (0.65)	-0.53 (0.29)
Health Care	0.02 (0.27)	-0.49 (0.30)	-0.24 (0.34)	-0.62 (0.65)	-0.23 (0.26)
Other	-0.14 (0.21)	-0.25 (0.24)	-0.37 (0.26)	-0.16 (0.43)	-0.25 (0.20)
Constant	1.42 (0.31)*	0.57 (0.31)	-1.82 (0.42)*	-2.06 (0.50)*	-0.99 (0.28)*
n	1848	1855	1864	1890	1888
Likelihood ratio test for inclusion of Vietnam positions	34.56*	40.73*	16.90*	5.47	5.89
Difference in BIC from model excluding Vietnam position	-4.48	-10.63	13.22	24.71	24.28

Note: * p < 0.05

The omitted position on the Vietnam War is "ambivalent." The omitted ideological category is "very liberal." The omitted occupational category is "business executive." Marginal effects are reported in Figure 8. See the note in Table A-2 concerning interpretation of the difference in BIC statistics.